

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/765,555 Examiner Medina A. Ibrahim | BARBAS ET AL. Art Unit 1638 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: amendment

(1) Medina A. Ibrahim.

(3) _____.

(2) James Mullen III.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative authorized attached Examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)